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Abstract

This study is part of a doctoral research, which aim is to prove that reflective thinking can be developed and scaffolded during teacher training, through the introduction of diverse active methodologies practice in the university classroom; such as writing a reflective diary, dialoguing with in-service teachers, and becoming a teacher assistant. Consequently, the researchers applied the action research cycle to motivate future teachers to keep a reflective journal about their experiences throughout their career. As a conclusion, all the strategies applied have contributed to accomplish the research’s main goal.
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Introduction

It is worldwide recognized the need of improving the processes of initial teacher training. In this regard, Chacón (2008) states that it is necessary for students in their educational careers to acquire tools that help them to develop reflective thinking. This ability allows the individual to understand the complexity of the classroom. In this context, the following research proposes to promote the acquisition process of reflective thinking in the students of English Pedagogy at Playa Ancha’s University, Valparaíso, Chile. This process will be developed through the activities planned for the subject called Initial Workshop for Practice (TIFP in Spanish). Authors such as Chacón (2005, 2008), Chacón & Sayago (2005), Pérez (2010) and Tallaferro (2005) argue that such approaches should start from the first moments of the pedagogical career. This paradigm recognizes that reflective practice should take place in active and real spaces. That’s why this research is developed through the axis of early school practices encouraging reflection on trainer teachers’ vocation and pedagogical work, from their earliest years of formation.

The Problem

This research’s problem arises from the results of the last evaluations applied to the teachers of Chilean public schools, which shows the lack of reflection in a high number of teachers (Docentemás 2012)\(^1\). Consequently, the reports of the Tuning project for Latin America (2004)\(^2\) and the OECD, propose curricular renewals in initial teacher training. This information is collected by the Playa Ancha’s University in its process of curricula innovation of pedagogy careers, and incorporates reflective thinking as an ability to be developed through the axis of practices. However, this paradigm shift confronts us with new challenges, and as Domingo (2013) puts it, in this scenario we lack formal and proven on-site strategies that efficiently and effectively promote reflective thinking in pedagogy students in their Early Practices. Therefore, the research question is what methodological strategies efficiently promote the development of reflective teaching thinking in UPLA’s students of English pedagogy?

General Objective

Elaborate a methodological proposal to develop reflective teaching thinking skill among English Pedagogy students at Playa Ancha’s University, under the context of curricula innovation.

Specific Objectives

1) Scaffold the development of reflective teacher thinking ability through various methodological strategies applied at the core of early practice.
2) Monitor the implementation of the proposal semester to semester through the action research cycle.

---

\(^1\)www.docentemas.cl
**Hypothesis:**
Teaching reflective thinking will be developed during the initial training process, through methodological strategies of continuous support, therefore to greater guided methodological practice, the greater the reflective capacity developed by the student of pedagogy.

**Proposal:**
Understanding reflective teacher thinking as a cognitive ability to be improved, this research raises a methodological proposal based on the models of Korthagen (1985), Kolb and Fry (1975) Ziechner and Liston (1996) and Farrel (2004, 2007) whose focus is the development of the skill through a series of strategies graded in complexity. Under this paradigm, Farrell (2004), Jay and Johnson (2002) and VanMannen (1977), have stipulated that the reflexive process has three levels: descriptive, comparative and critical. Then, since this study covers a period of 8 semesters, the level of the reflexive ability is classified according to the annual progress of the students of the sample, that is to say, advancing one level for every two semesters through the practice program, as shown in figure 1.

Consequently, this research takes Schön (1992) as reference, who stipulates that professional development depends on experience, practice and reflection. Schön proposes to develop this ability in the different practices that the student experiences during his teacher training, to transform it into a permanent practice competency of the future teacher.

**Sequence of the Intervention Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>EXPECTING LEARNING OUTCOME</th>
<th>INTERVENTION STRATEGY</th>
<th>REFLECTION LEVEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Understanding the concept of reflective thinking</td>
<td>Writing Your First Reflective Diary</td>
<td>Descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Develop reflection through dialogue with others</td>
<td>Pedagogical dialogues with local school system teachers</td>
<td>Descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Develop observation skills to understand pedagogical phenomena in the classroom</td>
<td>Observation as a strategy of reflection</td>
<td>Descriptive and comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Apply classroom observation techniques to reflect on factors that influence school life</td>
<td>First intervention in school working with a group of students for 8 weeks</td>
<td>Comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Apply qualitative research methods as a strategy for the development of pedagogical reflection</td>
<td>Action research as a reflection strategy</td>
<td>Comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Create, apply, analyze and reflect on a pedagogical intervention in its disciplinary area</td>
<td>Disciplinary methodological intervention in school</td>
<td>Critical - Comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Design, participate and reflect reflexively on a collaborative disciplinary classroom project</td>
<td>Project-based learning, Classroom assistant</td>
<td>Critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Reflectively evaluate of pedagogical performance</td>
<td>Writing Your First Reflective Diary</td>
<td>Critical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** The annual progress of the sample’s students.

**Findings:**
The students of the sample have acquired the ability to reflect on their pedagogical practice, advancing in the levels of reflection according to the development of their teacher training. Thus, both the researchers and the participating population recognize that putting the focus in classroom practice supports the development of this skill. Similarly, it is proven that the earlier this training process begins, the better the achievement of the competition’s development. It is concluded that the objectives were achieved and the hypothesis could be verified.

**Projections and Limitations:**
At this stage of the research it can be inferred that the improvement of the implementation through the cycle of research-action causes that the students of the sample develop their reflective thinking in a better way.

At the beginning of the process the reflection of the sample is basic, demonstrating confirmation that the practice in real educational scenarios develops the student reflective thought effectively. Under the same paradigm the projections are related to the continuity of the proposal in the new generations of English pedagogy’s students at UPLA, with projections towards to the other pedagogies at the same University. On the other hand, the limitations relate to the school placements available for early practices, which instead of increasing, decrease every single semester.
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